

Care transition interventions for children with asthma in the emergency department



Molly A. Martin, MD, MAPP,^a Valerie G. Press, MD, MPH,^b Sharmilee M. Nyenhuis, MD,^a Jerry A. Krishnan, MD, PhD,^a Kim Erwin, MDes,^c Giselle Mosnaim, MD,^d Helen Margellos-Anast, MPH,^e S. Margaret Paik, MD,^b Stacy Ignoffo, MSW,^f and Michael McDermott, MD,^g for the CHICAGO Plan Consortium *Chicago, Ill*

The emergency department (ED) is a critical point of identification and treatment for some of the most high-risk children with asthma. This review summarizes the evidence regarding care transition interventions originating in the ED for children with uncontrolled asthma, with a focus on care coordination and self-management education. Although many interventions on care transition for pediatric asthma have been tested, only a few were actually conducted in the ED setting.

Most of these targeted both care coordination and self-management education but ultimately did not improve attendance at follow-up appointments with primary care providers, improve asthma control, or reduce health care utilization. Conducting any ED-based intervention in the current environment is challenging because of the many demands on ED providers and staff, poor communication within and outside of the medical sector, and caregiver/patient burden. The evidence to date suggests that ED care transition interventions should consider expanding beyond the ED to bridge the multiple sectors children with asthma navigate, including health care settings, homes, schools, and community spaces. Patient-centered approaches may also be important to ensure adequate intervention design, enrollment, retention, and evaluation of outcomes important to children and their families. (*J Allergy Clin Immunol* 2016;138:1518-25.)

From ^athe University of Illinois at Chicago, ^bthe University of Chicago, ^cIllinois Institute of Technology Institute of Design, ^dRush University Health Center, ^eSinai Health System, ^fChicago Asthma Consortium, and ^gIllinois Emergency Department Asthma Surveillance Project for the CHICAGO Plan Consortium.

Research reported in this report was partially funded through a Patient-Centered Outcomes Research Institute (PCORI) award (AS-1307-05420, “Coordinated Healthcare Interventions for Childhood Asthma Gaps in Outcomes (CHICAGO) Trial”) and a National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute/National Institutes of Health grant (grant no. U34 HL130787, “Coordinated Healthcare Interventions for Childhood Asthma Gaps in Outcomes (CHICAGO) Collaboration II”). The statements in this report are solely the responsibility of the authors and do not necessarily represent the views of the PCORI, its Board of Governors, or the Methodology Committee, or the National Institutes of Health.

Disclosure of potential conflict of interest: M. A. Martin has received grants from the National Institutes of Health and the Patient-Centered Outcomes Research Institute. V. G. Press has received a grant from the Patient-Centered Outcomes Research Institute and the National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute and has consultant arrangements with Seattle VA, the University of Illinois at Chicago, and the Society of Hospital Medicine COPD Expert Panel. S. M. Nyenhuis has received grants from the Patient-Centered Outcomes Research Institute and the National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute and is a board member for the Chicago Asthma Consortium. J. A. Krishnan has received a grant and travel support from the Patient-Centered Outcomes Research Institute and has consultant arrangements with Sanofi and the National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute. K. Erwin has received grants from the Patient-Centered Outcomes Research Institute, the National Institutes of Health, the Knight Foundation, and the Robert Wood Johnson Foundation; has received payment for lectures from Integrated Michigan Patient-centered Alliance for Care Transitions, Western North Carolina Health Network, and OSF Healthcare; has received royalties from Wiley & Sons; and has received travel support from Forechange. G. Mosnaim has received grants from the Patient-Centered Outcomes Research Institute and the National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute; is a board member for the American Academy of Allergy, Asthma, and Immunology; has consultant arrangements with Teva, GlaxoSmithKline, Boehringer-Ingelheim, and Electrocore, LLC; and has received stock/stock options from Electrocore, LLC. H. Margellos-Anast has received a grant from the Patient-Centered Outcomes Research Institute. S. Ignoffo has received a grant to Chicago Asthma Consortium from the University of Illinois. M. McDermott has received a grant from the Patient-Centered Outcomes Research Institute and has received travel support from the University of Illinois. S. M. Paik declares no relevant conflicts of interest.

Received for publication August 9, 2016; revised October 20, 2016; accepted for publication October 20, 2016.

Corresponding author: Molly A. Martin, MD, MAPP, Department of Pediatrics, University of Illinois at Chicago, 840 South Wood St, M/C 856, Chicago, IL 60612. E-mail: mollyma@uic.edu.

The CrossMark symbol notifies online readers when updates have been made to the article such as errata or minor corrections

0091-6749/\$36.00

© 2016 American Academy of Allergy, Asthma & Immunology
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jaci.2016.10.012>

Key words: Asthma, patient-centered, pediatric, emergency department, care transitions, disparities, care coordination, education

Discuss this article on the JACI Journal Club blog: www.jaci-online.blogspot.com.

Asthma is one of the most common chronic diseases among children in the United States, affecting more than 7 million children and costing more than 50 billion in direct health care costs annually.¹⁻³ Not all children with asthma are affected equally. The health burden from asthma is disproportionately high among non-Hispanic black and Puerto Rican children, who have substantially higher prevalence of asthma (13.4% and 23.5%, respectively, vs 7.6% for non-Hispanic white).³ The risk of hospitalizations is also higher for non-Hispanic black (12.9%) versus non-Hispanic white (3.4%) children.⁴ These disparities exist despite decades of research to develop and implement strategies that target health disparities in asthma.⁵⁻⁷

The emergency department (ED) is where many patients with asthma seek and receive care.⁸ Respiratory disorders are the most common reason for ED visits in children after injuries and poisonings.⁹ In 2010, children with asthma in the United States experienced more than 900,000 asthma-related ED visits.⁹ Asthma disparities are obvious in the ED¹⁰⁻¹²; non-Hispanic black children have been shown to have an ED visit rate more than 4 times higher than the rate for non-Hispanic white children.¹³ Medicaid recipients also have a greater number of asthma-related ED visits when compared with non-Medicaid insured recipients.^{10,14} Because most patients receiving ED care experience symptoms of uncontrolled asthma in the weeks and months before their ED visit, ED utilization rates for asthma can be attributed to patient-level issues such as underrecognition of symptoms or challenges implementing treatment plans.¹⁵ On a

Abbreviations used

CAPE: CHICAGO Action Plan after Emergency department discharge
CHICAGO: Coordinated Healthcare Interventions for Childhood Asthma Gaps in Outcomes
CHW: Community health worker
ED: Emergency department
PCP: Primary care provider

system level, these rates serve as a barometer for inaccessible, and perhaps lower quality, ambulatory care options for asthma care.

Caring for asthma in EDs is costly for both families and the health care system.¹⁶ Some children who present to the ED for asthma care have severe asthma that is difficult to control under the best of circumstances, whereas other children have uncontrolled asthma due to improper management at home or in the ambulatory setting.^{8,10} In all situations, families require education and support while in the ED to safely and effectively transition their care to the ambulatory setting and home.¹⁴ With the shift from volume to value-based payment models for health care in the United States, there is increasing interest among health systems to identify and adopt strategies that improve the quality and outcomes of care transitions following ED discharge for asthma. The objective of this review was therefore to summarize the evidence regarding care transition interventions originating in the ED for children with uncontrolled asthma.

CARE TRANSITIONS FROM THE ED TO HOME AND AMBULATORY SETTINGS

Care transitions are defined as the movement of patients between health care practitioners, settings, and home as their need for health care evolves over time.¹⁷ The primary barriers to effective care transitions are inadequate communication, patient education, and accountability.¹⁷⁻¹⁹ ED providers frequently do not have access to ambulatory health records and cannot effectively coordinate management or arrange follow-up care. Patients and their caregivers often receive incomplete, confusing, or conflicting recommendations regarding care plans during health care transitions. This becomes even more challenging when patients lack a sufficient understanding of the disease or how to care for it. Accountability for the disease management falls either on the ambulatory provider or the patient/caregiver, both of whom often have incomplete information, limited resources to coordinate care, and may not communicate effectively with each other.

Although chronic diseases such as asthma are influenced by many factors within the health care system and the communities where patients live,²⁰ approaches to care transitions from the ED can be grouped into 2 domains: *care coordination* and *self-management education*. *Care coordination* is defined as “the deliberate organization of patient care activities between 2 or more participants (including the patient) to facilitate the appropriate delivery of health care services.”²¹ Care coordination can be achieved through a range of modalities including designated care coordination staff, written materials, emails, phone calls, text messages, and open access scheduling. The goal is to address access and resource gaps through communication processes. Self-management education targets the skills that patients and

caregivers require to monitor, treat, and control asthma.²² This education can happen in many different environments and can be delivered using various modalities.

CARE TRANSITION INTERVENTIONS IN THE ED FOR PEDIATRIC ASTHMA

Care coordination is usually not a typical part of ED services, yet many patients in the ED are there primarily because of failures in coordinating quality care in the ambulatory setting.^{8,10} Incomplete access to patients' full health information in the ED coupled with limited skills training and general capacity for care coordination has motivated the development of interventions to transition patients out of the ED and link them back into primary care. In 2012, Katz et al²³ published a review of care coordination interventions in the ED. Of the 14 randomized controlled trials identified, 4 targeted pediatric asthma interventions that were delivered in the ED²⁴⁻²⁷ (Table I). Another review of ED-based care transition interventions for pediatric patients published by Abraham et al³³ in 2016 identified 9 care coordination interventions for children with asthma, but only 5 of these were actually conducted in the ED.^{24,26,27,30,31}

Three of the 6 interventions identified in these reviews demonstrated improvements in follow-up with primary care providers, mainly using strategies that focused on patient reminders and appointment scheduling.^{24,25,30} One study achieved improvements in care coordination by providing families with allergen skin testing in the ED as a way to generate tailored asthma management plans and encourage families to seek follow-up care.³⁰ Care coordination can also be achieved through decision support tools. A randomized controlled trial in Canada provides an example of a provider-level intervention that achieved improvements in care coordination by aiming to reduce practice variation.²⁸ The study tested the effects of a structured paper template to promote guideline-consistent ED discharge practices versus usual care. The treating ED physicians completed the paper template at ED discharge and made all decisions about the dosage and duration of medications prescribed, verbal instructions, and recommendations for medical follow-up. Results indicated that the intervention significantly increased ED physician adherence to guideline recommendations for arranging follow-up visits with medical providers and that caregivers were also more likely to attend a follow-up visit with a medical provider.²⁸

Self-management education for asthma has been shown to improve patient outcomes and be a cost-effective component of asthma care in various settings.^{22,34,35} However, studies of asthma self-management education interventions in the ED are limited. In an Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality review of self-management interventions for pediatric asthma through 2006, none of the 75 interventions identified in the review that directly targeted self-management and patient education were conducted in the ED.³⁶ A Cochrane review of educational interventions for pediatric asthma in the ED included 38 studies but only 4 of these interventions actually occurred in the ED^{26,29,31,32,37} (Table I). Although the Cochrane review reported that educational intervention was strongly associated with a reduced risk for subsequent ED visits,³⁷ only 1 of the 4 studies that delivered the educational intervention in the ED setting reported some improvements in health care utilization.³²

TABLE I. Care transition intervention studies in the ED for pediatric asthma

Lead author	Population	Intervention	Comparison	Outcomes	Time	Setting
Baren 2006 ²⁵	N = 384. Aged 2-54 y, current asthma exacerbation in the ED, plan to discharge, receiving prednisone, English only	Group B: Free prednisone, transportation vouchers for PCP, and reminder call. Group C: Same as Group B but with follow-up appointment scheduled	Three-arm RCT. Comparison (group A) was usual discharge care	Follow-up with PCP. Results: Group C more likely to have a follow- up PCP visit (OR, 2.8; 95% CI, 1.5-5.1)	30 d. Secondary outcomes at 1 y	9 EDs chosen for geographic and patient diversity
Ducharme 2011 ²⁸	N = 219. Aged 1-17 y, clinical diagnosis of asthma, treated with albuterol in ED, discharged with albuterol and fluticasone, French or English only	Treating ED physician recorded asthma management instructions using a structured paper template	Two-arm RCT. Comparison was usual discharge care. All participants received albuterol and fluticasone inhalers	Fluticasone adherence. Results: Improved adherence to fluticasone in intervention group. No change in PCP follow-up at 28 d. Slight improvement in PCP follow-up at 90 d for intervention group. (RR, 1.37; 1.01-1.85)	28 d. Secondary outcomes at 90 d	Montreal, Canada
Farber 2004 ²⁹	N = 56. Aged 2-18 y, history of asthma, receiving ED care for acute asthma, Medicaid insurance	Education consisted of inhaler device instruction and action plans in the ED, followed by 3 phone calls to reinforce asthma management skills	Two-arm RCT. Comparison was brief education routinely used in ED	Asthma severity and hospital/ED visits. Results: No changes	1 mo and 6 mo	New Orleans, La
Gorelick 2006 ²⁶	N = 352. Aged 2-18 y, acute asthma, English only	Group 2: Group 1 plus ED records faxed to PCP, PCP office called, patient called to remind about appointment, appointment scheduled for patient if needed. Group 3: Same as group 2 with addition of care manager who made up to 6 home visits for education and social service referrals	Three-arm RCT. Usual care (group 1) included asthma videotape, instruction on peak flow meter and inhaler use, proper medications use, instructions for follow-up with PCP, written asthma care plan	ED utilization, health-related quality of life, and controller medication use Results: No differences between groups	6 mo	Milwaukee, Wis

(Continued)

TABLE I. (Continued)

Lead author	Population	Intervention	Comparison	Outcomes	Time	Setting
Scarfi 2009 ³⁰	N = 77. Aged 2-12 y, physician-diagnosed asthma or at least 2 previous treated wheezing episodes	Skin testing during ED visit for food and aeroallergens, parents given results and a written report	Two-arm controlled trial. Group determined by day in ED. Comparison was usual care	Asthma clinic follow-up rates Results: Intervention group 2.6 times (1.02-6.65) more likely to keep appointment	1 wk	Urban public hospital
Smith 2006 ³¹	N = 92. Aged 2-12 y, Medicaid or no insurance, presenting to ED with asthma exacerbation	Asthma coach for follow-up, monetary incentive. Discharge instructions using "Asthma 1-2-3 Plan"	Two-arm RCT. Comparison group received "Asthma 1-2-3 Plan"	Asthma planning visit with PCP in 2 wk Results: No differences between groups	2 wk	St Louis, Mo
Sockrider 2006 ³²	N = 464 Age of 1-18 y, previous physician diagnosis of asthma, English or Spanish, presentation to a participating ED with asthma symptoms	Tailored computer-based program on asthma self-management delivered by educator in ED, with follow-up telephone call	RCT. Comparison was usual care	ED utilization. Results: Caregivers in intervention group reported more well-asthma visits (OR, 1.85, 1.05-3.39). No difference in ED visits	9 mo	4 sites in Texas
Zorc 2003 ²⁴	N = 278. Aged 2-18 y, history of asthma, symptoms requiring ED treatment, plan to discharge	Study staff attempted to schedule follow-up PCP appointment with caregiver in ED or after discharge	Two-arm RCT. Comparison was usual care which included faxing ED records to PCP	PCP follow-up. Results: Improved PCP follow-up in intervention group (1.4; 1.1-1.7)	4 wk	Philadelphia, Pa
Zorc 2009 ²⁷	N = 439. Age 1-18 y, presented to ED with asthma exacerbation	Intervention group watched educational video in ED and subgroup who screened positive for persistent asthma received a letter regarding the results to give to their PCP. All mailed reminder to schedule a follow-up with PCP	RCT. Comparison was usual care	Follow-up rates with a PCP after an ED visit Results: No differences between groups	4 wk	Philadelphia, Pa

RCT, Randomized controlled trial.

Several of these studies incorporated both self-management education and care coordination into their interventions. One example, Emergency Department Allies, combined education with care coordination in 2 academic EDs. The study used a randomized 3-arm clinical trial design.²⁶ Usual care consisted of an educational video, peak flow and inhaler instruction,

instructions on how to make a follow-up appointment, and a written asthma action plan. Group 2 received usual care plus enhanced care coordination that included specific outreach and communication to the primary care provider. Group 3 received all that group 2 did, plus 6 home visits from a case manager who performed asthma and environmental needs assessments,

education, personalized care plans, and social service referrals. Neither intervention arm was associated with decreases in the frequency of subsequent ED visits or improvements in asthma quality of life or controller medication use. The reasons for the lack of effect of either intervention were unclear although the usual care condition was more robust than what is often seen in busy EDs. Also, the training of the case managers and their oversight for quality and consistency was not described; perhaps it was not as strong or specific as needed to improve the outcomes.

Zorc et al²⁷ also tested a combined care coordination and education intervention for children with asthma. The control group received standard discharge instructions to follow-up in 3 to 5 days with their primary care provider (PCP). Intervention group participants received an educational video in the ED and if they screened positive for persistent asthma, they also received a letter to bring to their PCP stating their screening result. After discharge, they were sent a postcard reminding them to follow-up with their PCP. PCP follow-up rates at 4 weeks were similar between groups. Secondary outcomes of symptoms, asthma quality of life, and subsequent ED visits were also similar between study groups. Although this intervention demonstrated adequate feasibility, perhaps a one-time general-audience video was not robust enough to change behavior. Other educational video interventions that tailored their videos demonstrated slightly better outcomes.³²

A PATIENT-CENTERED APPROACH TO ED PEDIATRIC ASTHMA INTERVENTIONS

The Coordinated Healthcare Interventions for Childhood Asthma Gaps in Outcomes (CHICAGO) Plan is a multicentered randomized controlled trial funded by the Patient-Centered Outcomes Research Institute to test evidence-based strategies to improve the care and outcomes of black and Latino children with uncontrolled asthma presenting to EDs in Chicago.³⁸ The CHICAGO Plan builds on the strengths and lessons learned from these previous studies, and also incorporates a new element—patient-centeredness. The Institute of Medicine defines *patient-centered care* as “Providing care that is respectful of and responsive to individual patient preferences, needs, and values, and ensuring that patient values guide all clinical decisions.”¹⁸ To ensure the study is patient-centered, the CHICAGO Plan is guided by a team of stakeholders and a community advisory board. Formative work conducted in preparation for the trial defined the outcomes and interventions, while ongoing input from stakeholders supports study implementation and results interpretation. CHICAGO Plan recruits children aged 5 to 11 years old presenting to an ED with uncontrolled asthma. Children are randomized to receive a patient-centered ED discharge tool, a patient-centered ED discharge tool plus community health worker (CHW) home visitation, or usual care. CHWs are defined as frontline public health workers who are trusted members of and/or have an unusually close understanding of the community served.³⁹

Care coordination is achieved in 2 ways in the CHICAGO Plan. The first is through the CHICAGO Action Plan after Emergency department discharge (CAPE), adapted from Ducharme et al.²⁸ The CAPE is a personalized paper asthma management plan. The CAPE was designed using a process of contextual inquiry that engaged ED providers and administrators, ambulatory care providers, caregivers of children with asthma, and CHWs.⁴⁰

This formative work also concluded that the completion of the CAPE by existing ED staff and providers would not be feasible. The CAPE is therefore generated by ED coordinators hired specifically by the trial to serve as discharge coordinators, in consultation with both the ED providers and the patients’ caregivers. Care coordination is also achieved through the integration of CHWs in one arm of the study. CHWs work with participants to develop tailored strategies for more effective navigation of the health care system.

The CHICAGO Plan delivers self-management education in 3 ways. First, all study participants receive ED inhaler education using Teach-To-Goal.^{41,42} Second, the CAPE provides families with information on their specific medications, triggers, and follow-up care in a format they can refer back to when they leave the ED. The written information in the CAPE is also orally presented to the patient by the ED coordinator, along with inhaler technique education. This process allows for discussion and questions regarding the content and accommodates multiple learning styles. Third, in the home, CHWs support families to learn asthma symptoms, how medications work, when medications should be used, proper inhaler technique, and how to reduce triggers.

Another domain targeted by the CHICAGO Plan in the CHW arm is home environmental remediation. Home-based environmental trigger interventions have been shown to reduce indoor allergens and asthma symptoms and improve quality of life,⁴³⁻⁴⁵ but home environmental remediation is typically not a primary focus in the ED. To test the potential importance of triggers in the home, the CHW home visitation arm of the CHICAGO Plan takes a proactive approach toward identification of environmental issues and remediation. The environmental triggers targeted in the CHICAGO Plan included cockroach and mouse allergen because children with asthma are commonly sensitized to these allergens and high levels of exposure are found in inner-city homes.⁴⁶⁻⁵⁰ In the CHICAGO Plan, CHWs teach and support families to implement integrated pest management and green cleaning strategies to reduce environmental trigger exposures in the home. CHWs also work with the city housing authority and an independent nonprofit housing advocacy organization to assist families in obtaining structural repairs or to change housing when repairs are not adequate.

The CHICAGO Plan is ongoing and is expected to report results in the winter of 2017. Outcomes include measures of asthma control, caregiver quality of life, and several process measures (eg, prescription of guideline-recommended medications in the ED and arrangement of follow-up appointments before ED discharge).

GAPS, CHALLENGES, AND OPPORTUNITIES MOVING FORWARD

In studies examining strategies to improve care transitions among children presenting to the ED with asthma exacerbations, the focus to date has been to provide self-management education and care coordination support both during and after the ED visit to improve ambulatory follow-up and asthma control. This should be an effective strategy because the ED setting represents a point of enhanced receptivity to information and support for behavior change⁵¹ and ED-based care transition interventions that include all pediatric diseases have been shown to improve the probability of follow-up visits with primary care.³³ However, when we look at



FIG 1. Sectors of care for children with asthma.

pediatric asthma interventions alone, we see that the limited evidence suggests poor effectiveness of asthma-specific care transition interventions for children in the ED.^{23,33} These asthma interventions include elements that have been shown to be effective in other settings. What they do not include are robust multisector interventions that address the needs of children where they live, play, learn, and receive health care (Fig 1).

Care transitions from the ED to the ambulatory setting and the home rely on effective care coordination but this care coordination cannot occur only in the ED. Ideally, it should link within the medical sector (hospital, ED, ambulatory setting, and pharmacy) as well as connect with the community, home, and family in such a way that the burden of accountability does not fall solely on the patient or caregiver as demonstrated in the figure. Many care coordination tasks currently exist because the sectors do not have functioning systems of communication. Electronic health records from hospitals and EDs frequently do not connect with each other and with ambulatory settings. This limits the ability of clinicians to provide optimal health care and also imposes challenges on the scheduling of follow-up outpatient care.⁵² Outside of the medical sector, almost no infrastructure exists to support communication of health information between schools, families, and housing agencies.

The ultimate solutions to these problems reside in large-scale system and policy changes, which will not happen quickly. Therefore, we must define how and by whom care transitions in

our current system can be most effectively achieved. As the studies presented demonstrate, care coordination in the ED can be conducted by a variety of staff. Care coordination staff supported by written tools and technology-driven materials hold potential for connecting care plans across sectors. Yet we are far from the implementation of such tools. In the Abraham et al³³ review of ED-based care coordination interventions, none was integrated into the electronic health record or used health information technology. As these technology tools grow in feasibility and implementation, we need to consider the interaction of the human element with written/electronic materials. Are both necessary? Qualitative work and a needs assessment conducted for the CHICAGO Plan suggest that urban, low-income, minority populations appreciate written/electronic resources as sources of reference and tools to communicate across sectors, but rely on the human connection for initial delivery of the information, assistance with navigating the health care system, and long-term support of asthma management.

Self-management education for the caregiver/patient may be an important component of effective care transitions from the ED but the evidence remain limited. Traditionally self-management education was provided through written materials or delivered by the providers, but newer evidence supports delivery of this education by CHWs and technology platforms for high-risk populations.⁵³⁻⁵⁵ Education can be delivered in any setting and it remains unclear which settings optimize behavior change. The

ED presents a unique opportunity to identify patients at high risk for poor asthma outcomes and to use the experience of the ED visit as a teachable moment. Families might be primed for education and behavior change by the ED experience, but the evidence to date also suggest that the opposite might be true. Families might be too overwhelmed by the ED experience to effectively respond to education and support. It also remains unclear how much or how frequent self-management education needs to occur. Likely there is no one-size-fits-all recommendation; some patients will require more time and resources to implement change, while others will require less.

Home environmental remediation remains a difficult to address component of care transitions and recent evidence call into question the overall efficacy of this intervention.⁵⁶ Environmental remediation frequently requires actions and partnerships with agencies outside of the health care system and one way to achieve that in the current environment is CHWs. CHWs move between the health care system and patients' homes and are therefore uniquely positioned to facilitate home remediation efforts. Larger policy efforts may also be needed such as enforcement of existing building codes, smoke-free housing, idling laws and enforcement, industry zoning modifications, and financial assistance for low-income landlords and home owners.⁵⁷

A final area for consideration in the success of ED care transitions is the possible need for patient-centered interventions. In a 2009 review of asthma interventions, Clark et al³⁵ reported that successful interventions recognized the multifaceted nature of asthma management for patients, assessed needs and risk for each patient, and tailored program elements to those needs and risks. Engaging patients and other stakeholders in the design process is one way to achieve this. Because of strong interest from funders, payers, and the public for patient-centered interventions, evidence regarding the efficacy of patient-centered interventions on clinical outcomes and health care utilization has been growing. However, evidence on the role of patient-centered interventions in the ED does not exist and presents an opportunity for future studies.

CONCLUSIONS

The ED remains a critical point of identification and treatment for some of the most high-risk children with asthma. Providing these children and their families with the education and care coordination services they need to effectively manage their asthma at home with the support of an ambulatory provider is no easy task. Conducting any ED-based intervention in the current environment is challenging because of existing ED staff and provider responsibilities, poor communication within and outside of the medical sector, and caregiver/patient burden. The existing evidence on care transition models from the ED suggests that multisector studies for children with uncontrolled asthma can be initiated in the ED but then need to consider all the sectors children with asthma navigate, which include not just the hospitals, EDs, and clinics but also their homes and schools and community spaces. Once we develop care models that connect across all these sectors, we can perhaps finally reduce the burden of asthma borne by our most disadvantaged children and vulnerable sectors of our society.

We gratefully acknowledge the help of Michael Berbaum, PhD, Nina Bracken, APN, Julie DeLisa, MA, and Melissa Gutierrez, MS, from the

University of Illinois at Chicago; Jane Kramer, MD, from Rush University Medical Center; Rajesh Kumar, MD, from Lurie Children's Hospital; Cortland Lohff, MD, from the Chicago Department of Public Health; Tom MacTavish, MA, from the Illinois Institute of Technology Institute of Design; Kate McMahon, MPH, from the Respiratory Health Association; Zack Pittsenbarger, MD, from Lurie Children's Hospital; Trevonne Thompson, MD, from the University of Illinois at Chicago and John H. Stroger Jr Hospital of Cook County; and Les Zun, MD, from the Sinai Health System for their role in the development and implementation of the CHICAGO Plan. We thank the project managers, ED coordinators, CHWs, students, and others who participated for their help in the implementation of the CHICAGO Plan. We also thank the staff, students, and volunteers in the clinical centers and partner organizations who graciously contributed time, effort, and support to the CHICAGO Plan. Finally, we recognize the CHICAGO Plan families who contributed their time and efforts to make this study possible.

What is still unknown?

- How to effectively transition care of children with asthma from the ED to the ambulatory setting.
- The role of patient-centered interventions for asthma care transitions in pediatric asthma.
- How interventions that link the health care system, family, home environment, and community can improve asthma outcomes for children.

REFERENCES

1. American Lung Association. Asthma and children fact sheet. 2014. Available at: <http://www.lung.org/lung-health-and-diseases/lung-disease-lookup/asthma/learn-about-asthma/asthma-children-facts-sheet.html>. Accessed July 12, 2016. From the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention: National Center for Health Statistics, National Health Interview Survey Raw Data, 2011. Analysis by the American Lung Association Research and Health Education Division using SPSS and SUDAAN software.
2. Barnett SB, Nurmagambetov TA. Costs of asthma in the United States: 2002-2007. *J Allergy Clin Immunol* 2011;127:145-52.
3. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. National current asthma prevalence (2014). Available at: http://www.cdc.gov/asthma/most_recent_data.htm. Accessed August 4, 2016.
4. Akinbami LJ, Moorman JE, Simon AE, Schoendorf KC. Trends in racial disparities for asthma outcomes among children 0 to 17 years, 2001-2010. *J Allergy Clin Immunol* 2014;134:547-53.
5. US Environmental Protection Agency. Coordinated federal action plan to reduce racial and ethnic asthma disparities. 2012. Available at: <https://www.epa.gov/asthma/coordinated-federal-action-plan-reduce-racial-and-ethnic-asthma-disparities>. Accessed October 18, 2016.
6. Weiss KB, Shannon JJ, Sadowski LS, Sharp LK, Curtis L, Lyttle CS, et al. The burden of asthma in the Chicago community fifteen years after the availability of national asthma guidelines: results from the CHIRAH study. *Contemp Clin Trials* 2009;30:246-55.
7. Nath JB, Hsia RY. Children's emergency department use for asthma, 2001-2010. *Acad Pediatr* 2015;15:225-30.
8. Wang L, Tchopov N, Kuntz-Melcavage K, Hawkins M, Richardson R. Patient-reported reasons for emergency department visits in the urban Medicaid population. *Am J Med Qual* 2015;30:156-60.
9. Wier LM, Yu H, Owens PL, Washington R. Overview of children in the emergency department, 2010. HCUP Statistical Brief #157. Rockville, MD: Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality; 2013. Available at: <http://www.hcup-us.ahrq.gov/reports/statbriefs/sb157.pdf>. Accessed August 1, 2016.
10. Stanford RH, Gilson AW, Ziemiecki R, Zhou X, Lincourt WR, Ortega H. Predictors of uncontrolled asthma in adult and pediatric patients: analysis of the Asthma Control Characteristics and Prevalence Survey Studies (ACCESS). *J Asthma* 2010;47:257-62.
11. Ginde AA, Camargo CA. Improved overall trends but persistent racial disparities in emergency department visits for acute asthma, 1993-2005. *J Allergy Clin Immunol* 2008;122:313-8.
12. Kruse LK, Deshpande S, Vezina M. Disparities in asthma hospitalizations among children seen in the emergency department. *J Asthma* 2007;44:833-7.

13. Akinbami LJ, Moorman JE, Garbe PL, Sondik EJ. Status of childhood asthma in the United States, 1980-2007. *Pediatrics* 2009;123:S131-45.
14. LaCalle E, Rabin E. Frequent users of emergency departments: the myths, the data, and the policy implications. *Ann Emerg Med* 2010;56:42-8.
15. AL-Jahadali H, Anwar A, AL-Harbi A, Baharoon S, Halwani R, Al A, et al. Factors associated with patient visits to the emergency department for asthma therapy. *BMC Pulm Med* 2012;12:1-7.
16. Gold LS, Yeung K, Smith N, Allen-Ramey FC, Nathan RA, Sullivan SD. Asthma control, cost and race: results from a national survey. *J Asthma* 2013;50:783-90.
17. The Joint Commission. Transitions of care: the need for a more effective approach to continuing patient care. Available at: https://www.jointcommission.org/assets/1/18/Hot_Topics_Transitions_of_Care.pdf. Accessed August 4, 2016.
18. Institute of Medicine. Crossing the quality chasm: a new health system for the 21st century. Washington, DC: National Academy Press; 2001:40.
19. "Health policy brief: care transitions." *Health Affairs* September 13, 2012. Available at: http://healthaffairs.org/healthpolicybriefs/brief_pdfs/healthpolicybrief_76.pdf. Accessed August 4, 2016.
20. Bodenheimer T, Wagner E, Grumbach K. Improving primary care for patients with chronic illness: the chronic care model. *JAMA* 2002;288:1775-9.
21. McDonald KM, Sundaram V, Bravata DM, Lewis R, Lin N, Kraft S, et al. Care coordination. In: Shojania KG, McDonald KM, Wachter RM, Owens DK, editors. Closing the quality gap: a critical analysis of quality improvement strategies. Technical Review 9 (Prepared by Stanford-UCSF Evidence-Based Practice Center under contract No. 290-02-0017), 7. Rockville, Md: Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality; 2007; AHRQ Publication No. 04(07)-0051-7.
22. Expert Panel Report 3. Guidelines for diagnosis and management of asthma. National Asthma Education and Prevention Program; 2007. Available at: www.nhlbi.nih.gov/guidelines/asthma. Accessed August 4, 2016.
23. Katz EB, Carrier ER, Umscheid CA, Pines JM. Comparative effectiveness of care coordination interventions in the emergency department: a systematic review. *Ann Emerg Med* 2012;60:12-23.
24. Zorc JJ, Scarfone RJ, Li Y, Hong T, Harmelin M, Grunstein L, et al. Scheduled follow-up after a pediatric emergency department visit for asthma: a randomized trial. *Pediatrics* 2003;111:495-502.
25. Baren JM, Boudreaux ED, Brenner BE, Cydulka RK, Rowe BH, Clark S, et al. Randomized controlled trial of emergency department interventions to improve primary care follow-up for patients with acute asthma. *Chest* 2006;129:257-65.
26. Gorelick MH, Meurer JR, Walsh-Kelly CM, Brousseau DC, Grabowski L, Cohn J, et al. Emergency department allies: a controlled trial of two emergency department-based follow-up interventions to improve asthma outcomes in children. *Pediatrics* 2006;117:S127-34.
27. Zorc JJ, Chew A, Allen JL, Shaw K. Beliefs and barriers to follow-up after an emergency department asthma visit: a randomized trial. *Pediatrics* 2009;124:1135-42.
28. Ducharme FM, Zemek RL, Chalut D, McGillivray D, Noya FJD, Resendes S, et al. Written action plan in pediatric emergency room improves asthma prescribing, adherence and control. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 2011;183:195-203.
29. Farber HJ, Oliveria L. Trial of an asthma education program in an inner-city pediatric emergency department. *Pediatr Asthma Allergy Immunol* 2004;17:107-15.
30. Scarfi CA, Cunningham SJ, Wiznia A, Serebrisky D, Crain EF. Association between skin testing in the pediatric emergency department and adherence to follow-up in children with asthma. *Ann Allergy Asthma Immunol* 2009;102:35-40.
31. Smith SR, Jaffe DM, Highstein G, Fisher EB, Trinkaus KM, Strunk RC. Asthma coaching in the pediatric emergency department. *Acad Emerg Med* 2006;13:835-9.
32. Sockrider MM, Abramson S, Brooks E, Caviness AC, Pilney S, Koerner C, et al. Delivering tailored asthma family education in a pediatric emergency department setting: a pilot study. *Pediatrics* 2006;117:1358-44s.
33. Abraham J, Kannampallil T, Caskey RN, Kitsiou S. Emergency department-based care transitions for pediatric patients: a systematic review. *Pediatrics* 2009;123(suppl 3):S185-92.
34. Guevara JP, Wolf FM, Grum CM, Clark NM. Effects of educational interventions for self-management of asthma in children and adolescents: systematic review and meta-analysis. *BMJ* 2003;326:1308-9.
35. Clark NM, Mitchell HE, Rand CS. Effectiveness of educational and behavioral asthma interventions. *Pediatrics* 2009;123:S185-92.
36. Bravata DM, Sundaram V, Lewis R, Gienger A, Gould MK, McDonald KM, et al. Closing the quality gap: a critical analysis of quality improvement strategies (Vol. 5: Asthma care). Rockville, Md: Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality; 2007.
37. Boyd M, Lasserson TJ, McKean MC, Gibson PG, Ducharme FM, Haby M. Interventions for educating children who are at risk of asthma-related emergency department attendance. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* 2009;(2):CD001290.
38. The Coordinated Healthcare Interventions for Childhood Asthma Gaps in Outcomes (CHICAGO) Trial 39. Community Health Workers. Available at: <http://www.pcori.org/research-results/2013/coordinated-healthcare-interventions-childhood-asthma-gaps-outcomes-chicago>. Accessed June 15, 2015.
39. The American Public Health Association. Available at: <https://www.apha.org/apha-communities/member-sections/community-health-workers>. Accessed October 18, 2016.
40. Erwin K, Martin MA, Flippin T, Norell S, Shadlyn A, Yang J, et al. Engaging stakeholders to design a comparative effectiveness trial in children with uncontrolled asthma. *J Comp Eff Res* 2016;5:17-30.
41. Press VG, Arora VM, Shah LM, Lewis SL, Charbeneau J, Naureckas ET, et al. Teaching the use of respiratory inhalers to hospitalized patients with asthma or COPD: a randomized trial. *J Gen Intern Med* 2012;27:1317-25.
42. Press VG, Arora VM, Shah LM, Lewis SL, Ivy K, Charbeneau J, et al. Misuse of respiratory inhalers in hospitalized patients with asthma or COPD. *J Gen Intern Med* 2011;26:635-42.
43. Crocker DD, Kinyota S, Dumitru GG, Ligon CB, Herman EJ, Ferdinands JM, et al. Task Force on Community Preventive Services. Effectiveness of home-based, multi-trigger, multicomponent interventions with an environmental focus for reducing asthma morbidity: a community guide systematic review. *Am J Prev Med* 2011;41:S5-32.
44. Morgan WJ, Crain EF, Gruchalla RS, O'Connor GT, Kattan M, Evans R III, et al. Inner-City Asthma Study Group. Results of a home-based environmental intervention among urban children with asthma. *N Engl J Med* 2004;351:1068-80.
45. Krieger J, Jacobs DE, Ashley PJ, Baeder A, Chew GL, Dearborn D, et al. Housing interventions and control of asthma-related indoor biologic agents: a review of the evidence. *J Public Health Manag Pract* 2010;16:S11-20.
46. Rosenstreich DL, Eggleston P, Kattan M, Baker D, Slavin RG, Gergen P, et al. The role of cockroach allergy and exposure to cockroach allergen in causing morbidity among inner-city children with asthma. *N Engl J Med* 1997;336:1356-63.
47. Gruchalla RS, Pongracic J, Plaut M, Evans R III, Visness CM, Walter M, et al. Inner City Asthma Study: relationships among sensitivity, allergen exposure, and asthma morbidity. *J Allergy Clin Immunol* 2005;115:478-85.
48. Pongracic JA, Visness CM, Gruchalla RS, Evans R III, Mitchell HE. Effect of mouse allergen and rodent environmental intervention on asthma in inner-city children. *Ann Allergy Asthma Immunol* 2008;101:35-41.
49. Phipatanakul W, Eggleston PA, Wright EC, Wood RA. Mouse allergen, I: the prevalence of mouse allergen in inner-city homes. The National Cooperative Inner-City Asthma Study. *J Allergy Clin Immunol* 2000;106:1070-4.
50. Phipatanakul W, Matsui E, Portnoy J, Williams PB, Barnes C, Kennedy K, et al. Joint Task Force on Practice Parameters. Environmental assessment and exposure reduction of rodents: a practice parameter. *Ann Allergy Asthma Immunol* 2012;109:375-87.
51. Ornato JP. Treatment strategies for reducing asthma-related emergency department visits. *J Emerg Med* 2007;32:27-39.
52. Kyriacou DN, Handel D, Stein AC, Nelson RR. BRIEF REPORT: factors affecting outpatient follow-up compliance of emergency department patients. *J Gen Intern Med* 2005;20:938-42.
53. Campbell JD, Brooks M, Hosokawa P, Robinson J, Song L, Krieger J. Community health worker home visits for Medicaid-enrolled children with asthma: effects on asthma outcomes and costs. *Am J Public Health* 2015;105:2366-72.
54. Parker EA, Israel BA, Robins TG, Mentz G, Xihong Lin, Brakefield-Caldwell W, et al. Evaluation of community action against asthma: a community health worker intervention to improve children's asthma-related health by reducing household environmental triggers for asthma. *Health Educ Behav* 2008;35:376-95.
55. Joseph CL, Ownby DR, Havstad SL, Saltzgaber J, Considine S, Johnson D, et al. Research team members. Evaluation of a web-based asthma management intervention program for urban teenagers: reaching the hard to reach. *J Adolesc Health* 2013;52:419-26.
56. DiMango E, Serebrisky D, Narula S, Shim C, Keating C, Sheares B, et al. Individualized household allergen intervention lowers allergen level but not asthma medication use: a randomized controlled trial. *J Allergy Clin Immunol* 2016;4:671-9.
57. Krieger J. Home is where the triggers are: increasing asthma control by improving the home environment. *Pediatr Allergy Immunol Pulmonol* 2010;23:139-45.